

We claim:

1. A computer implemented system for analyzing results of a predictive model applied to a data pertaining to a plurality of entities, the method comprising:
 - a predictive model that scores the entities and provides a rank ordered listing of at least some of the entities, and at least one reason for each listed entity; and
 - for each reason, a report tree hyperlinked to the reason and containing a plurality of hyperlinked reports, including at least one summary level report providing a summary of data contributing to the reason the entity is included in the rank ordered listing.
2. A computer implemented method of analyzing results of a predictive model applied to a data pertaining to a plurality of entities, the method comprising:
 - providing a predictive model for scoring the entities;
 - displaying a rank ordering at least some of the entities according to their scores; and
 - for each of the displayed entities, providing a hyperlink to a report tree containing a plurality of hyperlinked reports, including at least one summary report providing a quantitative summary of data contributing to a reason the entity is included in the rank ordered listing.
3. The method of claim 2, wherein the report tree contains a plurality of reports comprising:
 - a suspect list of entities identified by the predictive model;
 - a breakdown report of each entity's activity by a selected categorization of the entity's activity, the breakdown report linked to the entity in the suspect list;
 - a distribution chart linked from the breakdown comparing activity of the entity to activity of the entity's peers;
 - a first subset report linked to the breakdown report showing the breakdown of the entity's activity by at least one of age or gender of other entities which interact with the entity;

- a second subset report linked to the first distribution report showing the breakdown of the entity's activity by at least one of age or gender of other entities which interact with the entity;
- a tabular report, linked to at least one of the breakdown report, the distribution chart, the first subset report or the second subset report, showing activity of the entity with respect to a selected categorization of the entity's activity;
- a interacting entity summary report linked to the breakdown report showing a summary of activities of the entity with respect to each other entity which interacts with the entity;
- a comparison report linked to at least one of the previous reports, comparing activity of the entity for at least one other entity with activity of the entity's peers for the same at least one other entity; and
- a report linked to at least one previous report, providing a listing of individual activities of an entity with respect to a selected categorization of the entity's activities.

4. The method of claim 3, wherein the other entities are clients of the entity.

5. The method of claim 2, wherein the report tree contains a plurality of reports comprising:

- a suspect list report including a plurality of entities scored by the predictive model;
- at least one entity-based report, linked to an individual entity in the suspect list, and providing a comparison of the individual entity's activity with respect to activity of the entity's peers;
- at least one entity-subset report, linked an entity-based report, and providing a breakdown of the entity's activity by a selected category;
- at least one entity to other entity report, linked to an entity-based report, and providing a breakdown of an entity's activity with respect to each other entity which interacts with the entity; and
- at least one detail report, linked to at least one previous report, and providing a listing of activities that pertain to the report to which the detail report is linked.

6. The method of claim 2, wherein the predictive model is for identifying suspicious entities based on transactions associated with the entities.

7. The method of claim 2, wherein the entities include at least one entity that is derived from multiple entities that interact with each other.

8. The method of claim 2, wherein a reason for including an entity in the rank ordered listing is suspicious activity of the entity, and the report tree includes a summary report providing a summary of activity of the entity.

9. The method of claim 8, wherein an entity is included in the rank ordered listing if the entity's activities are suspicious relative to the activities of the entity's peers.

10. The method of claim 2, wherein the entities are healthcare entities and the predictive model is for identifying suspicious healthcare entities from data including healthcare procedure reimbursement transactions associated with the entities.

11. The method of claim 10, wherein the summary report compares activity of the entity to activity of the entity's' peers with respect to at least one of following:

- a selected set of procedure code groups;
- a selected set of diagnosis code groups;
- a selected set of type of service codes; and
- a selected set of place of service codes.

12. The method of claim 10, wherein the summary report compares activity of the entity to activity of the entity's' peers with respect to an individual client of the entity.

13. The method of claim 10, wherein the summary report compares activity of the entity in each of a plurality of age groups of the entity's clients to the activities of the entity's peers in each of the age groups.

14. The method of claim 10, wherein the summary report compares activity of the entity in at least one month to activity of the entity's peers in the at least one month.

15. The method of claim 14, wherein the summary report includes a hyperlink to another report that summarizes the entity's activity in the at least one month with respect to at least one of procedure codes, diagnosis codes, place of service codes, and type of service codes.

16. The method of claim 10, wherein the summary report compares client consecutive visits of the entity for a selected period of time to client consecutive visits of the entity's peers in the selected period of time.

17. The method of claim 10, wherein the summary report compares average dollars per claim for the entity with average dollars per claim for the entity's peers.

18. The method of claim 17, wherein the summary report includes a hyperlink to a report providing a distribution of dollars per claim for the entity.

19. The method of claim 10, wherein the summary report compares per day activity of the entity with per day activity of the entity's peers.

20. The method of claim 19, wherein the per activity is measured by at least one of the following:

- dollars paid per client per day;
- number of services per client per day;
- number of clients per day;
- dollars paid per day;
- number of claims per day.

21. The method of claim 19, wherein the per activity is limited by at least one of the following:

- procedure codes;
- diagnosis codes;
- type of services codes; and
- place of service codes.

22. The method of claim 10, wherein the summary report compares the per client volume of activity of the entity with the entity's peers.

23. The method of claim 22, wherein the per client volume of activity is measured by at least one of the following:

- dollars paid per client;
- number of clients;
- number of services per client;
- dollars paid to the entity per client;
- number of services provided by the entity per client.

24. The method of claim 22, wherein the per client volume of activity is limited by at least one of the following:

- procedure codes;
- diagnosis codes;
- type of services codes; and
- place of service codes.

25. The method of claim 22, wherein the summary report includes a hyperlink to a report of activity volume for each of the entity's clients, sorted according to a measure of the activity volume per client.

26. The method of claim 10, wherein the summary report compares the number of multiple entities seen per day by the entity's clients to the number of multiple entities seen per day by the clients of the entity's peers.

27. The method of claim 10, wherein the healthcare entities are selected from a set consisting of: healthcare providers, patients, claims processors, doctors, hospitals, nursing facilities, practice groups, laboratories, and pharmacies, and interacting combinations of any of the foregoing entities.

28. A computer assisted method of identifying potentially fraudulent financial activity, the method comprising:

002260" 2442960

executing a predictive model on a set of financial activities from a plurality of entities,
the predictive model providing an ordered list of suspect entities based on a measure
predictive of potentially fraudulent activities;
selecting a entity on the ordered list;
navigating, by selection of hyperlinks, a report tree containing a hierarchy of reports,
each report hyperlinked to at least one other report, and containing

- i) at least one summary report of a entity's activities in a one of a selected
time period, activity category, or age group; and
- ii) at least one detailed report containing a number of activities of the entity
in at least one selected time period, activity a category, age group, or
individual client;

identifying in at least one summary report a set of activities of the entity that are
suspicious; and
identifying in at least one detailed report, individual activities of the entity that are
potentially fraudulent.

29. The method of claim 28, further comprising:

in conjunction with at least one of the identifying steps, opening a new case in a case
management system, the new case containing the entity as a suspect for further
investigation.

30. The method of claim 28, further comprising:

in conjunction with at least one of the identifying steps, copying the report into a
casebook containing a plurality of reports with respect to a specific entity being
investigated, the casebook accessible to a plurality of users via a web browser.

31. The method of claim 28, wherein the entities are healthcare entities, and the
financial activities include claims for reimbursement.

32. The method of claim 28, wherein at least one summary report of a entity's activities
compares the entity's activities relative to the entity's peers.

00000000000000000000

34. A computer implemented method of analyzing results of a predictive model applied to a set pertaining to transactions of a plurality of entities, the method comprising:

13553/04472/SF/5025877.1

35. A method of analyzing transactional data, comprising:
- providing a report tree comprising a plurality of predetermined reports hierarchically arranged to include a root report containing a plurality of report reasons, each reason descriptive of a transaction pattern, and linked to branch containing a summary report providing a summary of selected transactions associated with the transaction pattern, and a predetermined set of reports that provide further, lower level data specific to transactions contained in the report or entities associated the transaction pattern, at least one branch terminating in at least one report containing transaction details;
 - receiving a user request to process a set of transactions with a detection model to detect patterns of transactions;
 - receiving a user input select at least one report reason in the root report and in response, generating a summary report containing the summary of transactions associated with the transaction pattern; and
 - responsive to user inputs to select one or more of the predetermined reports, generating the selected report from the transactions associated with the transaction pattern.
36. A user interface for a computer program product, comprising
- a main menu frame containing a plurality of menu tabs, each menu tab for invoking a function of the computer program product, the menu tabs continuously available when the invoked functions are provided;
 - a display frame for displaying content information to the user, including reports derived from data contained in a database;
 - a context menu frame containing a plurality of functions, the contained functions dynamically and automatically selected in response to the content information displayed in the display frame, and responsive to the display frame containing a report, the context menu frame including functions for manipulating data contained in the report; and
 - a navigation frame for containing a variable number of icons, each icon providing a link to a report previously viewed in the display frame, the icons in the navigation frame automatically updated to include additional icons as additional reports are viewed in the display frame.

